



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/726,985	11/30/2000	David M. Morlitz	POU9-2000-0027-US1	2120
7590	01/26/2005		EXAMINER	
Philmore H. Colburn II CANTOR COLBURN LLP 55 Griffin Road South Bloomfield, CT 06002			LE, MIRANDA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2167	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/871,484	GHUKASYAN, HOVHANNES	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Miranda Le	2167	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 August 2004.

2a) This action is **FINAL**.                            2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 2-5, 7-8, 10-14, 20-28, 35-38, 40-41, 43-47, 53-58, 68-71, 73-74, 76-80 and 86-88 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:

- Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
- Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
- Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is responsive to Amendment , filed 08/09/2004.
2. Claims 2-5, 7, 8, 10-14, 20-28, 35-38, 40, 41, 43-47, 53-58, 68-71, 73, 74, 76-80, 86-88 are pending in this application. Claims 11, 20, 26, 44, 53, 77 are independent claims. In the Amendment, no claims have been amended, cancelled or added. This action is made Final.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

4. Claims 2-5, 7-8, 10-14, 20-28, 35-38, 40-41, 43-47, 53-58, 68-71, 73-74, 76-80, 86-88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Anawave Websnake, version 1.23, Anawave, Corporation.

WebSnake anticipated independent claims 11, 20, 26, 44, 53, 77 by the following:

**As to claims 11, 26, 44, 77,** WebSnake teaches “a method for providing resources from a Web server to a client computer, the method comprising: receiving a single request from a client computer, the single request identifying a desired Web page” on pages 22-23, WebSnake Tutorial-Building a Site Map, paragraphs 2-3, and Screenshot (page 22); “generating a site map including the desired Web page” on pages 22-23, WebSnake Tutorial- Building a Site Map, paragraphs 2-3;

“restricting a scope of the site map” on page 23, WebSnake Tutorial- Building a Site

Map, paragraph 5;

“sending an archive file containing the site map to the client computer in response to the single request” on pages 23-24, WebSnake Tutorial- Building a Site Map, paragraphs 8-9, see Screenshot.

**As to claims 20, 53,** WebSnake teaches “establishing a connection with the Web server” on page 11, WebSnake Tutorial-Off-line Browsing, paragraphs 2-3, and Screenshot;

“sending the single request to the Web server” on page 11, WebSnake Tutorial-Off-line Browsing, paragraphs 2-3, and Screenshot;

“receiving the archive file at the client computer” on page 12, WebSnake Tutorial-Off-line Browsing, paragraphs 8-10, and Screenshots;

“breaking the connection with the Web server” on page 12, WebSnake Tutorial-Off-line Browsing, paragraph 8;

“displaying the Web page at the client computer after said breaking the connection” on page 12, WebSnake Tutorial-Off-line Browsing, paragraphs 8-10, and Screenshots;

“decompressing the plurality of resources associated with the desired Web page; and displaying the Web page at the client computer after said breaking the connection” on page 12, WebSnake Tutorial-Off-line Browsing, paragraphs 8-10, see Screenshots, and on page 3, New Features 1.23 – “Zip Your Projects”.

**As to claims 2, 35, 68,** WebSnake teaches “compressing the plurality of resources associated with the desired Web page into the archive file” on page 3, section New Features in 1.23, paragraph “Zip Your Projects”.

**As to claims 3, 36, 69,** WebSnake teaches “selecting the archive file from a plurality of archive files” on page 2, paragraph “Search and Retrieve Project”.

**As to claims 4, 37, 70,** WebSnake teaches “including a plurality of resources associated with an additional Web page in the archive file” on page 2, paragraph “Search and Retrieve Project”.

**As to claims 5, 38, 71,** WebSnake teaches “receiving a depth value from the client computer” on page 23, WebSnake Tutorial- Building a Site Map, paragraph 5; “identifying a plurality of additional Web pages associated with the desired Web page” on page 23, WebSnake Tutorial- Building a Site Map, paragraph 5; “limiting a number of Web pages in the plurality of additional Web pages using the depth value” on page 23, WebSnake Tutorial- Building a Site Map, paragraph 5; “including the plurality of resources associated with the limited number of Web pages in the archive file” on page 23, WebSnake Tutorial- Building a Site Map, paragraph 5.

**As to claims 7, 40, 73,** WebSnake teaches “including metadata from the desired Web page in the archive file” on page 3, paragraphs “View File Details”, “Snake Status Bar”.

**As to claims 8, 41, 74,** WebSnake teaches “keywords found in the desired Web page, parent Web pages of the desired Web page, child Web pages of the desired Web page, links found in the desired Web page, administrative contacts for the desired Web page, and meta-tags found in the desired Web page” on page 27, WebSnake Tutorial-Searching and Retrieving Keywords/Files, Screenshots.

**As to claims 10, 43, 76,** WebSnake teaches “authenticating a manifest file; and including the manifest file in the archive file” on page 9, section “Session vs. Project”, paragraph 2.

**As to claims 12, 14, 45, 47, 78, 80,** WebSnake teaches “receiving a size value from the client computer; and limiting the size of the archive file to the size value” on page 23, WebSnake Tutorial- Building a Site Map, paragraph 5.

**As to claims 13, 46, 79,** WebSnake teaches “wherein the restricting the scope of the site map includes restricting the scope of the site map to include Web, pages having an URL that includes a sub-string that the URL of the desired Web page includes” on page 23, WebSnake Tutorial- Building a Site Map, paragraph 5.

**As to claims 21, 54,** WebSnake teaches “the archive file contains a plurality of resources associated with an additional Web page linked to the desired Web page, and wherein said method further includes: displaying the additional Web page after said breaking the connection” ” on page 12, WebSnake Tutorial-Off-line Browsing, paragraphs 8-10, and Screenshots.

**As to claims 22, 27, 55**, WebSnake teaches “indicating a size value in the single request, the size value indicating the maximum size of the archive file” on page 23, WebSnake Tutorial-Building a Site Map, paragraph 5.

**As to claims 23, 56**, WebSnake teaches “the archive file in said receiving an archive file contains metadata for the desired Web page, and wherein said method further includes: searching the metadata after said breaking the connection” on page 3, paragraphs “View File Details”, “Snake Status Bar”.

**As to claims 24, 57**, WebSnake teaches “the metadata is selected from the group comprising: keywords found in the desired Web page, parent Web pages of the desired Web page, child Web pages of the desired Web page, links found in the desired Web page, administrative contacts for the desired Web page, and meta-tags found in the desired Web page” on page 26, WebSnake Tutorial-Searching and Retrieving Keywords/Files, Screenshots.

**As to claims 25, 58**, WebSnake teaches “searching the site map after said breaking the connection” on page 12, WebSnake Tutorial-Off-line Browsing, paragraphs 8-10, and Screenshots.

**As to claims 86, 87, 88,** WebSnake teaches “the archive file includes a plurality of resources associated with the desired Web page” on page 2, paragraph “Search and Retrieve Project”.

**As per claim 28,** WebSnake teaches “indicating in the single request the maximum number of Web pages in the site map” on page 23, WebSnake Tutorial- Building a Site Map, paragraph 5.

***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments filed 08/09/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that:

(a) Anawave-Websnake does not teach/suggest claim 11's feature of “receiving a single request at a Web server from a client computer, the single request identifying a desired Web page” and “sending an archive file containing the site map.

(b) Anawave-Websnake does not teach/suggest claim 26, 44, 77's feature of “sending a single request to a Web server from a client computer, the single request identifying a desired Web page”.

The Examiner respectfully disagrees for the following reasons:

Per (a), Anawave-Websnake teaches receiving a single request at a Web server from a client computer, the single request identifying a desired Web page as “Enter the starting address (URL) of the web content or site you wish to download ” (i.e. type in www.anawave.com in the

starting Address/URL field). It should be noted the step “Enter the starting address (URL) of the web content or site you wish to download” is performed in on-line condition (see step 1, and screenshot #2 (i.e. Successfully downloaded [http:cws.internet.com/archives/mar97.htm](http://cws.internet.com/archives/mar97.htm)).

Anawave-Websnake also teaches sending an archive file containing the site map to the client computer in response to the single request as shown in screenshot #2 (i.e. Successfully downloaded [http:cws.internet.com/archives/mar97.htm](http://cws.internet.com/archives/mar97.htm)).

Per (b), under similar rational as provided in (a), and (2) in light of screenshot #2, the same reasoning would be applicable to sending a single request to a Web server from a client computer, the single request identifying a desired Web page. Arguments as raised are moot since all claim limitations relevant to this issue have been addressed accordingly.

### *Conclusion*

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Miranda Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-4112. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Breene, can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax number to this Art Unit is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

*mml*

Miranda Le  
January 21, 2005

*John E. Breene*  
JOHN BREENE  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800  
JAN 21 2005

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 2-5,7,8,10-14,20-28,35-38,40,41,43-47,53-58,68-71,73,74,76-80 and 86-88.